

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/749,136	12/27/2000	Andreas Burgard	00/141 NUT	5012	
75	90 03/17/2003				
PROPAT, L.L		EXAMINER			
2912 CROSBY ROAD CHARLOTTE, NC 28211			WONG, LESLIE A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/749,136 Applicant(s)

Burgard et al.

Examiner

Leslie Wong

Art Unit 1761

Ш			Ш	1

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
lf the If NO Failure Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the epty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1).X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 7, 200	03				
2a) X	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action	on is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	ition of Claims					
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) ( <b>X</b>	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8).	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	ation Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di					
11):	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t					
12)						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachn						
	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) In	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) [_] Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/749136

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima (EP 0122400), Ebisawa et al, and Ninomiya et al (GB 1297741) in view of Ledniczky et al (WO 99/04822) and Rayburn (WO 00/12067) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action (Paper No. 6).

Nakajima discloses a sweetener composition comprising acesulfame K and an amino acid (see entire document).

Ebisawa et al disclose the crystallization of aspartame with amino acids (see entire document).

Ninomiya et al disclose the combination of saccharin and tryptophan (see entire document).

The claims differ as to the specific recitation of a salt.

Ledniczky et al disclose a salt of a sweetener where the salt provides beneficial organoleptic properties (see entire document).

Rayburn discloses a salt of saccharin for improved organoleptic properties (see entire document).

Application/Control Number: 09/749136 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to produce a salt of any of the components of Nakajima, Ebisawa et al, and Ninomiya et al as taught by Ledniczky et al and Rayburn because the preparation of a salt of an intense sweetener improves the organoleptic properties of the sweetener.

Applicant's arguments filed January 7, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claimed invention is a novel salt compound and not a mixture.

Nakajima, Ebisawa et al, and Ninomiya et al all teach the combination of sweeteners with an amino acid source. Ledniczky et al and Rayburn are specifically cited to teach that the salt of a sweetener improves organoleptic properties. It is noted that Applicant's comments directed to the validity of Rayburn are without factual support.

Applicant is using well-known components for their art-recognized function. It is not seen where Applicant has established anything other than expected results.

In the absence of unexpected results, it is not seen how the claimed invention differs from the teachings of the prior art. Applicant's claims are drawn to a combination of known components which produces expected results, see In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069 and In re Gershon 152 USPQ 602.

All of the claim limitations and arguments have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as basis for patentability.

No claim is allowed

Art Unit: 1761

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-final responses and (703) 872-9311 for after-final responses.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner

jestie Willi

Art Unit 1761

LAW March 14, 2003